UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/679,210	10/04/2000 Donald F. Gordon		60136.0126USI1	8170
94140 Merchant & Go	7590 06/24/201 ould - Cox	EXAMINER		
PO Box 2903	IN 55400	SHANG, ANNAN Q		
Minneapolis, M	IIN <i>33</i> 402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2424	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/24/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/679,210	GORDON ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit

	711117111 Q. 01171110	2727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 May 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidaveal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejectio	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	" 07.0FP 44.07	6 11 - 141	5.0 1.0 5
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .		ll be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected to <u>rvone</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18 and 20-23</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowand	ce because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other: 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Annan Q Shang/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	Jnit 2424	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect the last office action mailed 02/12/10, Applicant amends claims to correct minor errors object to in the last office action and further argues that the prior arts of record do not meet the claims limitations, i.e., "Eyer does not receiving a plurality of IPQ pages, audio input and data, wherein each plurality of IPQ pages include a guide portion and a video portion..." that "....does not discloses generating a plurality of guide streams and at least one of a video stream, an audio stream and data stream, wherein each generated stream is assigned a respective packet identifier (PID)..." that "....fails to even mention generating a plurality of guide streams and at..." (see page 10+ of Applicant's Remarks).

In response, Examiner disagrees. Examiner notes Applicant's amendments/arguments, however, Eyer clearly discloses that the IPG data receive at Satellite Uplink 100 (fig.1) includes global data is global services and local services or programming broadcast by satellite and national CATV networks and channel map and other configuration data (see inputs (3) of fig.1 to Uplink 100, col.1, lines 10-20, line 64-col.2, line 37, col.3, lines 9-17, line 62-col.5, line 1+ and line 44-col.6, line 1+). Eyer further discloses that "The satellite MUX, modulator and encoder 100 also receives all or, typically, portion of the global programming services themselves (e.g., digital audio and video) as well as channel map data for both global and local programming services and other configuration data, discussed in greater detail in connection with FIG. 2." (col.6, lines 1-12). Eyer further meets other amended claims limitations, i.e., "...a session manager..." and "...a bandwidth manager..." (col.1, lines 42-45, col.7, line 66-col.8, line 1+ and col.21, line 66-col.22, line 16) as discussed clearly below. Hence the amended claims do not overcome the prior arts of record. The finality of the last office action is proper, meets all the claims limitations and hereby maintained.